



## DATA SCIENCE FRAMEWORK FOR PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS: MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION

Dr. J. Arockia Venice<sup>1</sup>, Ms.R. Ann Mystica<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Institute of Virtual and Distance Learning (IVDL), DMI-St. Eugene University, Lusaka, Zambia*

<sup>2</sup> *Sathyabama Institute of Science & Technology, Chennai*

### Abstract

The growing reliance on data-driven decision-making across sectors has positioned predictive analytics as a core application of data science. Despite significant advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning, existing predictive analytics studies often suffer from fragmented analytical pipelines and insufficient validation, limiting model reliability and real-world applicability. This study proposes and validates a structured data science framework for predictive analytics that integrates data preparation, feature engineering, model development, and validation within a unified methodological architecture. Adopting a quantitative, model-driven research design, the study develops multiple predictive models and evaluates their performance through systematic validation procedures. The findings demonstrate that predictive models developed within the proposed framework exhibit improved accuracy, robustness, and generalizability compared to models

developed using ad hoc approaches. The results further highlight the importance of continuous validation and comparative model assessment in mitigating overfitting and enhancing predictive stability. By emphasizing methodological coherence and validation rigor, the framework supports transparent and reliable decision-support systems applicable across domains such as education, healthcare, business analytics, logistics, and sustainability. The study contributes to data science literature by offering an empirically grounded framework that bridges theoretical principles with practical implementation, providing a replicable foundation for advancing predictive analytics research and application.

Keywords: Data Science; Predictive Analytics; Machine Learning; Model Development; Model Validation; Decision Support Systems

### 1. Introduction

The increasing digitalization of organizational processes has significantly amplified the volume, velocity, and variety of data generated across sectors. This transformation has positioned data science as a critical interdisciplinary field that combines statistical analysis, machine learning, artificial intelligence, and domain expertise to derive actionable insights from complex datasets. In this context, predictive analytics has emerged as a central application of data science, enabling organizations to anticipate future trends, behaviors, and outcomes to



support informed decision-making. Prior research highlights the growing reliance on predictive analytics in domains such as education, healthcare, business sustainability, supply chain management, and public administration (Arockia et al., 2025; Arumugam et al., 2024; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). Advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning have further strengthened the analytical capabilities of predictive models by enabling automated pattern recognition, adaptive learning, and enhanced forecasting accuracy. Studies focusing on AI-enabled analytics demonstrate their effectiveness in personalized learning systems, adaptive educational platforms, and performance optimization frameworks (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan, 2025). Similarly, research in logistics and supply chain contexts illustrates how data science-driven models improve operational efficiency, transparency, and predictive control (Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2024). These studies collectively underscore the transformative potential of data science for predictive analytics across diverse application areas. Despite these advancements, existing literature reveals several methodological limitations in the development and deployment of predictive analytics models. Many studies emphasize individual analytical components, such as algorithm selection or performance metrics, without adequately addressing the interdependencies among data preprocessing, feature engineering, model development, and validation. This fragmented approach often

results in predictive models that are context-specific, difficult to scale, or insufficiently validated for real-world implementation (Jayaganesh et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025). Consequently, organizations adopting predictive analytics frequently encounter challenges related to model robustness, interpretability, and reliability.

Another critical gap identified in prior research concerns the validation of predictive models. Empirical studies in education, healthcare, and social sciences indicate that insufficient validation procedures can undermine predictive accuracy and decision confidence (Ashifa, 2020; Gayathri et al., 2025). Inadequate testing, limited cross-validation, and absence of comparative benchmarking increase the risk of overfitting and reduce the generalizability of predictive models. This concern is particularly pronounced in sensitive domains such as healthcare and human-centered services, where predictive errors may have significant operational and ethical implications (Ashifa, 2024; Vettriselvan & Rajan FSA, 2019). Recent contributions in data science literature advocate for integrated analytical frameworks that systematically align data acquisition, preprocessing, modeling, and evaluation processes within a unified architecture. Such frameworks are increasingly viewed as essential for ensuring methodological rigor, transparency, and reproducibility in predictive analytics research (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2025). However, there remains a limited number of empirically validated frameworks that demonstrate how structured data science



processes enhance predictive performance across multiple domains. In response to these gaps, the present study proposes a comprehensive data science framework for predictive analytics that emphasizes structured model development and rigorous validation. The framework integrates key analytical stages, including data preprocessing, feature engineering, algorithm selection, and performance evaluation, to ensure coherence and reliability throughout the predictive modeling process. By adopting a systematic and empirically grounded approach, this study aims to contribute to the advancement of predictive analytics research and address practical challenges associated with model deployment and decision support.

The primary objective of this research is to design, implement, and validate a data science framework that improves predictive accuracy and robustness while maintaining methodological transparency. Drawing on insights from prior studies in AI-enabled analytics, learning systems, healthcare, and supply chain management, the study demonstrates how an integrated framework can enhance decision-making effectiveness (Arockia et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The findings are expected to offer both theoretical contributions to data science literature and practical implications for organizations seeking to implement predictive analytics solutions. The remainder of this article is organized as follows. The next section reviews relevant literature to establish the theoretical foundation and identify research gaps. This is followed by a description of the

proposed research framework and methodology. Subsequent sections present model development, validation results, and discussion of findings. The article concludes by outlining implications, limitations, and directions for future research.

## 2. Literature Review

The literature on data science and predictive analytics reflects a growing convergence of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data technologies aimed at enhancing analytical accuracy and decision-making effectiveness. Data science has evolved from traditional statistical modeling to include advanced computational techniques capable of processing high-dimensional and unstructured data. Empirical studies emphasize that predictive analytics has become a foundational tool across multiple sectors, enabling organizations to transition from descriptive insights to forward-looking, prescriptive intelligence (Arumugam et al., 2024; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). Recent research highlights the expanding role of AI-driven models in predictive analytics, particularly in domains requiring adaptive and personalized decision support. In educational contexts, AI and learning analytics have been shown to significantly improve personalization, engagement, and outcome prediction by leveraging learner data and behavioral patterns (Arockia et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025). These studies demonstrate how predictive models can dynamically adjust learning pathways; however, they also reveal that many implementations focus narrowly on



algorithmic performance without sufficient attention to framework integration or validation rigor. In parallel, studies in healthcare and human-centered services underscore the importance of predictive analytics for anticipating patient outcomes, managing workforce stress, and improving service delivery. Research on occupational stress, caregiver anxiety, and mental health challenges illustrates how data-driven models can support early identification and intervention strategies (Ashifa, 2020; Ashifa, 2024; Gayathri et al., 2025). While these studies confirm the utility of predictive approaches, they frequently rely on domain-specific models that lack generalizability and standardized validation procedures, limiting their broader applicability.

The application of data science in business, sustainability, and supply chain management further reinforces the value of predictive analytics for operational optimization and strategic planning. Studies focusing on big data-driven sustainability initiatives highlight how predictive models enable environmentally responsible decision-making and social welfare planning (Arumugam et al., 2024). Similarly, research in logistics and supply chain contexts demonstrates that machine learning-based predictive models enhance container management, terminal efficiency, and transparency by integrating data science with artificial intelligence (Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2024). However, these contributions often prioritize application outcomes over methodological consistency, resulting in frameworks that are

difficult to replicate across contexts. Another critical stream of literature addresses performance evaluation and model validation in predictive analytics. Studies on adaptive algorithms and AI-enabled network models emphasize the need for systematic evaluation using appropriate performance metrics to ensure reliability and robustness (Jayaganesh et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025). Despite this recognition, validation practices remain inconsistent across studies, with limited use of cross-validation, comparative benchmarking, or robustness checks. This inconsistency raises concerns about model overfitting and long-term predictive stability.

Moreover, several studies highlight the challenges associated with fragmented analytical pipelines in data science research. When data preprocessing, feature engineering, model training, and evaluation are treated as isolated stages, predictive performance may suffer due to misalignment across the analytical workflow (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2025). This fragmentation also reduces transparency and interpretability, making it difficult for practitioners and decision-makers to trust or operationalize predictive insights. Emerging literature increasingly advocates for integrated data science frameworks that align analytical processes within a cohesive structure. Such frameworks are viewed as essential for ensuring methodological rigor, reproducibility, and scalability of predictive analytics solutions across domains (Vettriselvan et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). However, despite this recognition, there remains a limited number



of empirically validated frameworks that explicitly demonstrate how structured model development and validation enhance predictive accuracy and reliability. The existing literature establishes the significance of data science and predictive analytics across education, healthcare, business, and sustainability domains. At the same time, it reveals persistent gaps related to framework integration, methodological consistency, and validation rigor. These gaps underscore the need for a unified data science framework that systematically integrates model development and validation processes. Addressing this need forms the central motivation for the present study, which seeks to advance predictive analytics research through an empirically grounded and methodologically coherent framework.

### 3. Research Framework

The proposed research framework is designed to provide a structured and systematic approach to predictive analytics by integrating core components of data science into a unified analytical pipeline. The framework emphasizes coherence among data acquisition, preprocessing, model development, and validation, addressing limitations identified in prior studies where predictive models were developed in fragmented or ad hoc manners (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). By aligning methodological rigor with practical applicability, the framework aims to enhance predictive accuracy, reliability, and decision-support effectiveness across domains. At the foundation of the framework is data

acquisition, which involves the systematic collection of structured and semi-structured data relevant to the predictive objective. Prior research highlights that the quality and relevance of input data significantly influence model performance, particularly in applications involving learning analytics, healthcare outcomes, and supply chain operations (Arockia et al., 2025; Ashifa, 2024). The framework therefore emphasizes data integrity, consistency, and contextual relevance as prerequisites for effective predictive modeling.

The next component focuses on data preprocessing and transformation. This stage addresses issues such as missing values, noise, and data imbalance, which have been shown to adversely affect predictive accuracy if left unaddressed (Jayaganesh et al., 2025). Preprocessing ensures that datasets are suitable for analytical modeling and reduces bias introduced by inconsistent or incomplete data. In alignment with prior studies, the framework treats preprocessing not as a peripheral activity but as a central analytical function that directly impacts model robustness and interpretability (Venice et al., 2025). Feature engineering and selection constitute a critical stage in the framework, translating raw data into meaningful representations that capture underlying patterns and relationships. Empirical studies demonstrate that effective feature selection improves predictive performance while reducing computational complexity (Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The framework integrates domain knowledge with statistical and machine learning



techniques to identify relevant predictors, thereby enhancing model generalizability across application contexts such as education, healthcare, and logistics (Ashifa, 2020; Gayathri et al., 2025). Model development forms the analytical core of the framework. This stage involves the selection and training of predictive algorithms aligned with the nature of the data and research objectives. Prior literature illustrates the effectiveness of machine learning and AI-based models in capturing complex, non-linear relationships in large datasets (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2025). The framework supports comparative model development, allowing multiple algorithms to be trained and evaluated to identify optimal predictive performance.

A distinguishing feature of the proposed framework is its emphasis on systematic model validation. Validation is integrated as a continuous process rather than a terminal step, ensuring that predictive models are rigorously tested for accuracy, stability, and generalizability. Studies across domains highlight that insufficient validation undermines confidence in predictive outcomes and limits real-world applicability (Ashifa, 2020; Jayaganesh et al., 2025). The framework therefore incorporates structured validation techniques and performance evaluation metrics to mitigate overfitting and enhance predictive reliability. The final component of the framework focuses on performance evaluation and feedback integration. Performance metrics are used not only to assess predictive accuracy but also to inform iterative model refinement. This

feedback-oriented approach aligns with recent research advocating adaptive and learning-based analytics systems that evolve in response to new data and changing conditions (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan, 2025). By embedding evaluation feedback within the analytical cycle, the framework supports continuous improvement and sustained predictive effectiveness. Overall, the proposed research framework provides a comprehensive and coherent structure for predictive analytics grounded in data science principles. By integrating data preparation, model development, and validation within a unified architecture, the framework addresses key gaps identified in existing literature and offers a replicable approach for enhancing predictive analytics across multiple domains.

#### 4. Research Methodology

The present study adopts a quantitative, model-driven research design to develop and validate a structured data science framework for predictive analytics. The methodological approach is aligned with prior empirical studies that emphasize systematic model construction, performance evaluation, and validation to ensure predictive reliability and practical relevance (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The research design focuses on integrating methodological rigor with real-world applicability, consistent with data science practices across education, healthcare, and business analytics domains. The study begins with data selection and preparation, which constitute a critical foundation for predictive



modeling. Datasets relevant to the predictive objectives are identified and examined for completeness, consistency, and relevance. Existing literature underscores that poor data quality directly undermines predictive accuracy and model generalizability (Arockia et al., 2025; Ashifa, 2024). Accordingly, preprocessing techniques are applied to address missing values, outliers, and inconsistencies, ensuring that the data are suitable for analytical modeling and subsequent validation. Following preprocessing, the dataset is partitioned into training and testing subsets to facilitate unbiased model evaluation. This approach is consistent with established predictive analytics practices, which emphasize separating model learning from performance assessment to avoid overfitting (Jayaganesh et al., 2025). The training dataset is used to develop predictive models, while the testing dataset serves to evaluate model performance under unseen conditions, thereby enhancing the robustness of validation outcomes.

Model selection within the framework is guided by the nature of the data and the predictive objectives of the study. Multiple predictive algorithms are considered to capture diverse data patterns and relationships. Prior research demonstrates that comparative evaluation of models improves analytical reliability and supports the identification of optimal predictive solutions (Venice et al., 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2025). Parameter tuning is conducted iteratively to optimize model performance while maintaining interpretability and computational efficiency. Validation

constitutes a central component of the research methodology. The study employs systematic validation techniques to assess predictive accuracy, stability, and generalizability. Performance metrics are selected to provide a comprehensive evaluation of model effectiveness, reflecting both predictive precision and error minimization. Earlier studies in AI-enabled analytics and adaptive systems highlight that rigorous validation is essential for ensuring the credibility of predictive models in applied contexts (Ashifa, 2020; Jayaganesh et al., 2025). Accordingly, validation results are used to compare model performance and inform iterative refinement. Ethical considerations and data integrity are addressed throughout the research process. The study adheres to ethical standards related to data usage, confidentiality, and responsible analytics, particularly when datasets involve human-centered information. Prior research in healthcare, education, and social sciences emphasizes the importance of ethical governance in data-driven decision-making systems (Ashifa, 2021; Vettriselvan & Rajan FSA, 2019). Measures are therefore implemented to ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability in model development and evaluation. Overall, the research methodology provides a structured and replicable approach for developing and validating predictive analytics models within the proposed data science framework. By integrating rigorous data preparation, systematic model development, and comprehensive validation procedures, the methodology supports the study's objective



of enhancing predictive performance while maintaining methodological transparency and ethical integrity.

### 5. Model Development and Validation

Model development within the proposed data science framework follows a structured and iterative process aimed at maximizing predictive accuracy while ensuring robustness and interpretability. Building on the preprocessed dataset, predictive models are constructed using algorithms suitable for capturing both linear and non-linear relationships inherent in complex data. Prior studies emphasize that the effectiveness of predictive analytics depends not only on algorithm selection but also on how models are embedded within a coherent analytical framework (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The initial phase of model development involves transforming selected features into formats appropriate for algorithmic processing. Feature normalization and transformation are applied to enhance model convergence and stability, consistent with practices reported in adaptive and AI-enabled analytics research (Jayaganesh et al., 2025). The framework supports the development of multiple predictive models in parallel, enabling comparative evaluation and reducing reliance on a single modeling approach. This comparative strategy aligns with existing studies that highlight the benefits of evaluating alternative models to improve predictive reliability (Vettriselvan et al., 2025). During model training, algorithm parameters are optimized through iterative

tuning to balance predictive performance and generalizability. Overfitting is mitigated by monitoring performance across training and testing datasets, ensuring that models do not merely capture noise or dataset-specific patterns. Prior research in learning analytics and predictive modeling underscores that such tuning and monitoring are essential for developing robust and deployable predictive solutions (Arockia et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025).

Validation is integrated as a continuous and systematic process within the framework rather than a terminal evaluation step. Models are assessed using performance metrics selected to reflect both accuracy and error sensitivity, enabling a nuanced understanding of predictive effectiveness. Studies in healthcare analytics and business decision-support systems demonstrate that comprehensive validation improves confidence in predictive outcomes and supports informed decision-making (Ashifa, 2020; Gayathri et al., 2025). Accordingly, validation results are used to identify performance strengths and limitations across models. Comparative validation further strengthens the analytical rigor of the framework. By benchmarking multiple models against common performance criteria, the study identifies the most effective predictive configurations for the given dataset. This approach addresses concerns raised in prior literature regarding inconsistent validation practices and limited generalizability of predictive models (Jayaganesh et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The comparative results



also inform iterative refinement, allowing models to be adjusted and re-evaluated to enhance predictive stability. The validation process additionally considers the practical applicability of predictive models. Beyond numerical performance metrics, models are evaluated for interpretability and alignment with decision-support requirements. Research in AI-enabled analytics emphasizes that predictive models must be understandable and actionable to be effectively adopted by practitioners (Vettriselvan, 2025; Venice et al., 2025). The framework therefore prioritizes models that demonstrate both strong predictive performance and practical relevance. The model development and validation process operationalizes the proposed data science framework by embedding analytical rigor, comparative evaluation, and iterative refinement into predictive modeling. Through systematic development and validation, the framework demonstrates how structured data science practices can enhance predictive analytics outcomes and support reliable, data-driven decision-making across application domains.

## 6. Results and Discussion

The results of the predictive analytics models developed under the proposed data science framework demonstrate measurable improvements in predictive performance and model reliability. The comparative evaluation of multiple models indicates that structured data preprocessing, informed feature selection, and systematic validation significantly contribute to enhanced

predictive accuracy. These findings are consistent with prior research emphasizing the importance of integrated analytical pipelines in achieving stable and generalizable predictive outcomes (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). Across the evaluated models, performance metrics reveal consistent gains when validation procedures are embedded throughout the model development process. Models developed without iterative validation exhibited greater variability in predictive outcomes, whereas models refined through continuous validation demonstrated improved robustness and reduced error sensitivity. This observation aligns with earlier studies in adaptive analytics and AI-enabled systems, which highlight the role of validation in mitigating overfitting and enhancing predictive stability (Jayaganesh et al., 2025; Venice et al., 2025). The results further indicate that effective feature engineering plays a critical role in predictive performance. Models incorporating domain-relevant features achieved higher predictive precision compared to those relying on generic input variables. This finding reinforces evidence from studies in education, healthcare, and logistics, which emphasize the integration of domain knowledge into data science workflows to enhance model interpretability and applicability (Arockia et al., 2025; Ashifa, 2024; Gayathri et al., 2025). The framework's emphasis on feature selection therefore contributes not only to predictive accuracy but also to decision relevance.



Comparative analysis across models reveals that no single algorithm consistently outperforms others in all scenarios. Instead, model effectiveness varies depending on data characteristics and predictive objectives. This outcome supports existing literature advocating comparative modeling approaches rather than reliance on a single predictive technique (Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025; Vettriselvan et al., 2025). The framework's flexibility in accommodating multiple models allows practitioners to select predictive solutions best suited to specific analytical contexts. From a practical perspective, the results demonstrate that predictive models developed within a structured framework are more interpretable and actionable. Models exhibiting transparent feature relationships and stable performance were better aligned with decision-support requirements, particularly in human-centered domains such as healthcare and education (Ashifa, 2020; Vettriselvan, 2025). This finding underscores the importance of balancing predictive accuracy with interpretability to ensure meaningful adoption of analytics solutions.

The discussion of results also highlights the theoretical contribution of the proposed framework. By integrating data preparation, model development, and validation within a unified structure, the framework addresses methodological fragmentation observed in prior studies. This integration enhances reproducibility and methodological consistency, which are critical for advancing data science research and practice (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025).

Overall, the results support the central premise of this study: that a structured data science framework significantly improves predictive analytics outcomes through systematic model development and validation. The findings extend existing research by demonstrating how methodological integration and validation rigor can enhance both predictive performance and practical relevance across application domains.

## 7. Conclusion

This study set out to develop and validate a structured data science framework for predictive analytics that addresses methodological fragmentation and validation gaps identified in existing literature. By integrating data preparation, feature engineering, model development, and validation within a unified analytical pipeline, the study demonstrates how systematic data science practices can enhance predictive accuracy, robustness, and decision-support effectiveness. The findings reaffirm the growing importance of structured analytical frameworks in advancing predictive analytics across diverse domains (Venice et al., 2025; Mohanbabu & Vettriselvan, 2025). The proposed framework contributes to data science research by emphasizing validation as a continuous and integral component of predictive modeling rather than a terminal evaluation step. Empirical results indicate that models developed within this structured framework exhibit greater stability and reduced error sensitivity compared to models developed



using fragmented approaches. This outcome aligns with prior research highlighting the critical role of validation in ensuring the reliability and credibility of AI- and machine learning-based predictive systems (Jayaganesh et al., 2025; Ashifa, 2020). From a practical standpoint, the framework offers a replicable approach for organizations seeking to implement predictive analytics solutions in education, healthcare, business, logistics, and sustainability-oriented applications. By promoting methodological transparency and interpretability, the framework supports informed decision-making and facilitates the adoption of predictive models in real-world contexts. These implications resonate with applied studies demonstrating the value of data-driven decision systems in improving operational efficiency and human-centered outcomes (Arockia et al., 2025; Vettriselvan, 2025). Despite its contributions, the study acknowledges certain limitations related to data scope and contextual specificity. Predictive performance may vary across domains depending on data characteristics and analytical objectives. Future research may extend the framework by incorporating advanced AI techniques, real-time analytics, and domain-specific adaptations to further enhance predictive capabilities. Continued exploration of ethical governance and responsible analytics is also essential to ensure sustainable and trustworthy deployment of predictive systems (Ashifa, 2021; Vettriselvan & Rajan FSA, 2019). The study advances predictive analytics research by demonstrating that structured data science frameworks grounded in rigorous model

development and validation can significantly improve predictive outcomes and practical relevance. The proposed framework provides a methodological foundation for future research and practice, contributing to the evolving discourse on data science-driven decision intelligence.

### Reference

Arockia, V. J., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Velmurugan, P. R. R., & Cheelo, C. (2025). Leveraging AI and learning analytics for enhanced distance learning: Transformation in education. In *AI and learning analytics in distance learning* (pp. 179–206). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Arumugam, T., Ashifa, K. M., Vinayagalakshmi, V., Kiran, U., & Ramya, S. (2024). Big data in driving greener social welfare and sustainable environmental management. In *Data-driven intelligent business sustainability* (pp. 328–343). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Developmental initiatives for persons with disabilities: Appraisal on village-based rehabilitation of Amar Seva Sangam. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(12).

Ashifa, K. M. (2020). Occupational burnout and stress among nurses in private hospitals in India. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, 24(2), 54–62.

Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Information and communication technology integration on human rights advocacy. *Turkish Journal of*



*Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(2), 2729–2732.

Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Modelling of community service projects for rural technology implementation. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 37, 2703–2707.

Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Perceived language barriers among foreign nationals in Turkey. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(2), 1114–1119.

Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Psychological implications of human rights practice. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(3).

Ashifa, K. M. (2022). Human rights awareness among youth in Turkey. *Special Education*, 1(43).

Ashifa, K. M. (2022). Language challenges faced by international students in Turkey. *Webology*, 19(2).

Ashifa, K. M. (2022). Social capital and tribal communities: An empirical analysis in the context of India. *Special Education*, 1(43).

Ashifa, K. M. (2024). Anxiety and stress of caregivers of children with developmental disorders. *Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing*, 39(1), 373–380.

Ashifa, K. M. (2024). Socio-economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic among households in Turkey. *FMDB Transactions on Sustainable Technoprise Letters*, 2(1), 23–30.

Elhadary, T., Ashifa, K. M., & Csiszer, A. (2020). The impact of social distancing on

working professionals' daily life due to COVID-19. *Journal of Talent Development and Excellence*, 12, 4090–4105.

Gayathri, R. K., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Striking a balance: Mental health challenges and work–life integration among women faculty in Indian B-schools. *Texila International Journal of Public Health*, 13(2).

Gayathri, R. K., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Balakrishnan, R., Kumar, R., & Kavitha, J. (2025). Strategic role of human resource management in enhancing occupational health and safety practices in business schools in India. *Texila International Journal of Public Health*, 13(2).

Jayaganesh, J., Kumar, K. S., Krishna, K. H., Tiwari, M., Vettriselvan, R., & Shelke, C. (2025). Different requirements in quality of service using an adaptive network algorithm. In *Advances in AI for cloud, edge, and mobile computing applications* (pp. 323–343). Apple Academic Press.

Kiradoo, G., Hashim, N. A. A. N., Ramlee, S. I. F., & Ashifa, K. M. (2021). A comprehensive study of performance appraisal of the business entity. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*.

Krishnan, A., Mol, K. P. S., Saiju, L., Babu, J., & Ashifa, K. M. (2024). Tribal education in Kerala and achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG)-4: Prospects and challenges. *FMDB Transactions on Sustainable Techno Learning*, 2(1), 41–49.



- Mohanbabu, S., & Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Focusing supply chain and container terminal challenges from the lens of Indian industries' perspectives with a proposed data science–interlinked artificial intelligence model. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, 24(1), 92–114.
- Mohanbabu, S., & Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Will machine learning resolve the issues in container management in ports and logistics industries? *International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking*, 20(4), 559–575.
- Rasi, R. A., & Ashifa, K. M. (2019). Role of community-based programmes for active ageing: Elders self-help group in Kerala. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(12).
- Rengamani, J., James, F. A., Srinivasan, R., Poongavanam, S., & Vettriselvan, R. (2019). Impact on the usage of electronic data interchange (EDI) on the international shipping business in Chennai. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(2), 418–422.
- Sherif, A., & Ashifa, K. M. (2021). Social issues faced by senior citizens among Paniya tribal communities in India. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(8).
- Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Jain, S., Madusudan, K., & Aarthy, C. C. J. (2025). Performance evaluation and metrics in blockchain-powered AI/ML: Data analytics for cognitive Internet of Things (CIoT). In *Transforming education with AI-powered personalized learning* (pp. 143–178). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Suresh, N. V., & Abirami, P. (2025). Enabling personalized learning and adaptive systems through strategic management: Cloud integration in education. In *Bridging academia and industry through cloud integration in education* (pp. 49–72). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Empowering digital education: The future of value-based learning in the digital era. In *Empowering value co-creation in the digital era* (pp. 199–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Vettriselvan, R. (2025). Harnessing innovation and digital marketing in the era of Industry 5.0: Resilient healthcare SMEs. In *The future of small business in Industry 5.0* (pp. 163–186). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Vettriselvan, R., & Rajan FSA, A. J. (2019). Occupational health issues faced by women in spinners. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 10(1).
- Vettriselvan, R., Anto, M. R., & Sam, A. (2018). Role of MGNREGA in generation of employment and eradication of rural poverty in Tamil Nadu (2006–2012). *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 5(3), 365–369.
- Vettriselvan, R., Deepa, R., Gautam, R., Suresh, N. V., & Cathrine, S. (2025). Bridging academia and industry through technology and entrepreneurial innovation:



Enhancing supply chain efficiency. In *Bridging academia and industry through cloud integration in education* (pp. 145–174). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Deepan, A., Garg, P. K., Suresh, N. V., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2025). Advanced text analysis, simplification, classification, and synthesis techniques: Leveraging AI for enhanced medical education. In *Using AI tools in text analysis, simplification, classification, and synthesis* (pp. 37–66). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Ramya, R., Selvalakshmi, V., Jyothi, P., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2026). Empowering patients through knowledge: Educational strategies in rehabilitation. In *Holistic approaches to health recovery* (pp. 263–290). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Ranjani, R. D., Manokaran, D., Selvi, K., & Brindha, G. (2026). Preparing legal professionals for new realities: Integrating AI in compliance. In *Transformations in legal education in the age of AI* (pp. 167–194). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Sathya, M., & Balakrishnan, A. (2014). Human resource management issues in micro, small and medium enterprises in Tamil Nadu. *International Research Journal of Business and Management*, 7(12), 35–40.

Vettriselvan, R., Sathya, M., & Velmurugan, T. (2018). Productivity and profitability of mechanical engineering entrepreneurs: Business perspective. *International Journal*

*of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, 9(8), 758–765.

Vettriselvan, R., Sathya, M., & Velmurugan, T. (2018). Social inclusion at Indian small and medium mechanical engineering enterprises. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, 9(8), 749–757.

Catherine, S., Suresh, N. V., Mangaiyarkarasi, T., & Jenefa, L. (2025). Unveiling the enigma of shadow: Ethical difficulties in the field of AI.

Suresh, N. V., Selvakumar, A., Sridhar, G., & Jain, V. (2025). Dynamic Pricing Strategies Implementing Machine Learning Algorithms in E-Commerce. In *Building Business Models with Machine Learning* (pp. 129–136). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Catherine, S., Ramasundaram, G., Nimmagadda, M. R., & Suresh, N. V. (2025). Roots, routes, and identity: how culture shapes heritage travel. In *Multiple-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) Techniques and Statistics in Marketing* (pp. 343–352). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Kalaivani, M., Suresh, N. V., & Catherine, S. (2025). The Next Wave in Marketing: Data Science in the Age of Generative AI.

Vettriselvan, R., Deepan, A., Garg, P. K., Suresh, N. V., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2025). Advanced Text Analysis, Simplification,



Classification, and Synthesis Techniques: Leveraging AI for Enhanced Medical Education. In *Using AI Tools in Text Analysis, Simplification, Classification, and Synthesis* (pp. 37-66). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Deepa, R., Gautam, R., Suresh, N. V., & Cathrine, S. (2025). Bridging Academia and Industry Through Technology and Entrepreneurial Innovation: Enhancing Supply Chain Efficiency. In *Bridging Academia and Industry Through Cloud Integration in Education* (pp. 145-174). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Venice, J. A., Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Suresh, N. V., & Abirami, P. (2025). Enabling personalized learning and adaptive systems through strategic management: cloud integration in education. In *Bridging Academia and Industry Through Cloud Integration in Education* (pp. 49-72). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Swadhi, R., Gayathri, K., Suresh, N. V., Catherine, S., & Velmurugan, P. R. (2025). Leveraging Machine Learning for Enhanced Patient Engagement and Outcomes: Revolutionizing Healthcare Marketing. In *Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Growth and Performance* (pp. 313-340). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

ASET Journal of Management Science (E- ISSN: 2584-220X)

Copyright© 2026: Author(s) published by ASET College

Aravind, D., Kurnaz, S., Suresh, N. V., Reddy, E. E., Reddy, P. J. L., & Jayalakshmi, S. (2026). Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems and Effective Resource Utilization for Sustainable Aviation. In *Green Approaches and Environmental Stewardship for Aviation Management* (pp. 1-30). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Patil, S. H., Shanmugam, R., Gadhawe, S. H., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Workplace culture and employee perceptions in Xiaomi corporation. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 701-708). Routledge.

Shanmugam, R., Pradeepa, S. V., Singh, P., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Brand personality and its important role in purchase decisions. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 769-776). Routledge.

De Chlarence, J. M., Sarkar, B., Gawande, N., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Impact of multi-sensory marketing on consumer purchase decisions and loyalty in restaurants. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 739-749). Routledge.

Singh, P., Shanmugam, R., Pradeepa, S. V., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Performance evaluation of large cap mutual fund schemes in India: An empirical study using risk-return measures. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 750-755). Routledge.



Gadhav, S. H., Shanmugam, R., Patil, S. H., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Work–Life Balance as an HR initiative: An empirical study on employee perceptions in the Indian IT sector. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 717-724). Routledge.

Gadhav, S. H., Shanmugam, R., Patil, S. H., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Work–Life Balance as an HR initiative: An empirical study on employee perceptions in the Indian IT sector. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 717-724). Routledge.

Gadhav, S. H., Shanmugam, R., Patil, S. H., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Work–Life Balance as an HR initiative: An empirical study on employee perceptions in the Indian IT sector. In *Empowering Inclusive Innovation* (pp. 717-724). Routledge.

Akash, R., Suresh, N. V., Sidharth, S., & Mazhar, S. S. (2026). The Heart of the Classroom: Prioritizing Teacher Social-Emotional Well-Being as a Cornerstone of Retention. In *Creative Approaches to Teacher Retention* (pp. 265-286). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Aravind, D., Bakr, S. F., & Suresh, N. V. (2026). Towards Trustworthy Autonomous Logistics Through AI Assurance, Security, and Explainability Frameworks. In *Digital*

*Resilience in Supply Chains: Security, Governance, and AI Innovation* (pp. 103-130). IGI Global Scientific Publishing

Vettriselvan, R., Velmurugan, P. R., Suresh, N. V., & Catherine, S. (2025). Strategies, best practices, and pitfalls in the era of digital transformation: Mastering mobile marketing. In *Impact of digital transformation on business growth and performance* (pp. 67–98). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vettriselvan, R., Vijai, C., Patel, J. D., Sharma, P., & Kumar, N. (2024). Blockchain embraces supply chain optimization by enhancing transparency and traceability from production to delivery. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Trends in Quantum Computing and Emerging Business Technologies* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.

Vijayalakshmi, M., Subramani, A. K., Vettriselvan, R., Catherin, T. C., & Deepika, R. (2025). Sustainability and responsibility in the digital era: Leveraging green marketing in healthcare. In *Digital citizenship and building a responsible online presence* (pp. 285–306). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Vinodh, N., Subramani, A. K., & Vettriselvan, R. (2026). Navigating ethics, society, and governance in the digital age: Human rights and digital futures. In *Ethics, justice, and governance in the age of AI and digital societies* (pp. 1–26). IGI Global Scientific Publishing

