



GREEN FINANCE IN ACTION: CAN SUSTAINABLE INVESTING ACTUALLY DRIVE PROFITS?

Dr. K. Meenatchi Somasundari

*Associate Professor, AMET Business School,
AMET University Chennai.
meenakshik@ametuniv.ac.in*

Abstract

Green finance has become a strategic tool for directing investments toward sustainable development goals because environmental degradation and climate change pose serious threats to global stability. Green finance refers to financial services and products that prioritize social and environmental benefits in addition to conventional financial returns, such as ESG-integrated investment funds and green bonds. This study explores whether investors can actually profit from sustainable investing. This study concludes that green finance is not only compatible with profit-making but may also offer better long-term risk-adjusted returns by examining scholarly literature, empirical data, and market trends. Sustainable investing is a sound financial strategy in

the twenty-first century, despite certain obstacles like inconsistent ESG data and the possibility of greenwashing.

Introduction

Growing awareness of social and environmental issues like resource scarcity, income inequality, and climate change is causing a revolution in the financial sector. As a result, investors are now thinking about the social and environmental effects of their investments in addition to maximizing financial returns. A subset of sustainable finance known as "green finance" refers to the allocation of capital toward initiatives that support environmental sustainability, such as sustainable agriculture, pollution prevention, and renewable energy. A growing understanding of the connection between sustainability and economic value is demonstrated by the recent boom in green finance. Skeptics, however, wonder if giving environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors more weight reduces financial gains. This study examines whether green finance can increase profits in both the short and long term.



Defining Green Finance and Sustainable Investing

In general, financial initiatives that promote environmental improvement and climate risk mitigation are referred to as "green finance." It encompasses tools like clean technology concessional loans, ESG-aligned equity funds, and green bonds. The integration of environmental, social, and governance considerations into investment choices with the goal of producing long-term financial returns in addition to social impact is known as sustainable investing. There are five fundamental strategies for sustainable investing, according to the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA, 2023): impact investing (directly pursuing quantifiable social or environmental impact), sustainability-themed investing (concentrating on sectors like clean energy), positive screening (favoring top ESG performers), exclusionary screening (avoiding companies involved in controversial sectors), and ESG integration (incorporating ESG into financial analysis). These tactics

demonstrate the variety and adaptability of the green finance industry.

Literature Review

Over the past 20 years, growing concerns about environmental degradation and a change in investor values have led to a significant increase in the academic literature on sustainable investing. The meta-analysis by Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015), which examined over 2,000 empirical studies and found that most of them reported a positive or neutral relationship between ESG performance and financial outcomes, is among the most thorough studies in this field. This research calls into question the conventional wisdom that sustainability entails a monetary cost.

Khan, Serafeim, and Yoon (2021) made a significant distinction between material and immaterial ESG issues, which is another important contribution. According to their analysis, companies that did well on material ESG factors—those that have a financial bearing on their sector—performed noticeably better in terms of stock price.



But not every study is in agreement. For example, Revelli and Viviani (2015) warned that sector exclusions or higher operating costs can occasionally cause ESG investments to underperform. Berg, Koelbel, and Rigobon (2022) have also documented the growing concern regarding ESG data inconsistency. They found that there is little correlation between ESG ratings from various providers, which makes it more difficult for investors to make decisions. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the majority of recent research backs up the idea that green finance can produce competitive returns and be in line with fiduciary duty when applied rigorously and transparently.

Methodology

A qualitative, literature-based research methodology is used in this study. In order to assess the connection between green finance and profitability, it aggregates secondary data from market research reports, peer-reviewed journal articles, and institutional publications. With a focus on empirical findings, sources were chosen based on their publication within the last ten years, relevance, and credibility.

ASET Journal of Management Science (E- ISSN: 2584-220X)

Copyright© 2025: Author(s) published by ASET College

To find recurring themes and inconsistencies in the literature, a thematic analysis approach was employed. The economic performance of green financial instruments (such as green bonds), the risk-adjusted returns of ESG investments, and the significance of ESG metrics in investment choices are some of the specific themes. In order to demonstrate how green finance actually works, case-based insights were also taken from industry reports (such as Morningstar and Climate Bonds Initiative).

The Financial Case for Sustainable Investing

Risk Mitigation and Long-Term Stability

The capacity of sustainable investing to lower long-term risks is among its most compelling justifications. Businesses with strong ESG policies are frequently more able to withstand risks to their operations, reputation, and regulations. Businesses that implement proactive environmental policies, for example, are less likely to experience public outrage, class-action lawsuits, or penalties from environmental regulators. While social



factors like labor practices can improve employee satisfaction and productivity, good governance practices can also lessen the chance of fraud or bad management choices. ESG-focused businesses may thus see more consistent financial performance as a result of more stable cash flows and reduced volatility. All of these elements work together to produce better long-term risk-adjusted returns.

Empirical Evidence on Financial Returns

The claim that ESG integration can improve financial performance is supported by empirical research. About 90% of the research showed a non-negative relationship between ESG performance and corporate financial outcomes, according to a thorough meta-analysis of over 2,000 studies done by Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015). A positive correlation was found in over half of these studies. This suggests that businesses that put sustainability first frequently beat or at least equal the returns of their less conscientious competitors. According to a study by Khan, Serafeim, and Yoon (2021), companies that performed well on

ASET Journal of Management Science (E- ISSN: 2584-220X)

material ESG issues—those that were most pertinent to their particular industries—showed increased stock returns and profitability. Additionally, Morningstar (2022) noted that during the COVID-19 pandemic, ESG funds tended to perform better than traditional funds, demonstrating their resilience to market volatility.

Green Finance Instruments and Market Trends

Green Bonds

One of the most well-known instruments in the green finance toolbox is the green bond. These fixed-income securities are issued especially to finance environmentally beneficial projects like sustainable infrastructure, energy efficiency, and renewable energy. With global issuances surpassing \$500 billion in 2021, the green bond market has experienced exponential growth in recent years (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022). Green bonds are attracting more and more investors because they promise both steady returns and a positive environmental impact. According to research by Baker et al. (2018), because of high investor demand,



green bonds can occasionally fetch a "greenium"—a small yield discount—and are priced competitively with conventional bonds. This indicates that investors are prepared to accept marginally lower returns in return for less risk to their reputation and less impact on the environment.

ESG-Integrated Funds

ESG-integrated investment funds look for companies that are well-managed and focused on the future by applying ESG criteria to the securities selection process. ESG funds have grown in popularity and currently account for more than one-third of all assets managed globally (GSIA, 2023). Many actively managed ESG funds have shown impressive returns, especially during times of market stress, even though the performance of these funds varies by region and strategy. However, the inconsistent application of ESG criteria has led to criticism of passive ESG funds. For example, because of inconsistent ESG ratings or lenient fund screening procedures, funds with the label "ESG" may contain businesses with dubious environmental records. To guarantee the legitimacy of ESG

investing, these discrepancies underscore the necessity of enhanced regulatory supervision and uniform reporting.

Results and Discussion

Green bonds have also shown themselves to be appealing financial products. Studies like Baker et al. (2018) show that green bonds are priced competitively and frequently benefit from higher demand, resulting in a modest "greenium," despite early worries about performance dilution. It seems that investors are becoming more and more prepared to accept slightly lower yields in return for reputational advantages and social impact. But there are still difficulties. Data reliability is called into question by the disparity in ESG ratings amongst major providers, including Bloomberg, Sustainalytics, and MSCI (Berg et al., 2022). This inconsistency damages the credibility of ESG labeling and makes it challenging for investors to draw comparable conclusions. Moreover, there is still a chance of greenwashing. Companies may overstate their environmental commitments to investors if there are lax



disclosure and verification procedures in place.

Notwithstanding these problems, the trend is evident: investor interest in green finance is rising quickly, and regulatory frameworks (such as the EU Taxonomy and SEC climate risk disclosure rules) are starting to standardize ESG disclosures, which could eventually increase transparency and boost market efficiency.

Challenges and Criticisms

Green finance has shown promise in terms of both financial and environmental outcomes, but there are still a number of issues and complaints. First, there is still a big problem with inconsistent ESG data. Since there isn't a single, widely recognized standard for ESG disclosures, ratings from various data sources vary. Significant differences in ESG ratings were discovered by Berg, Koelbel, and Rigobon (2022), which may deceive investors and erode confidence in ESG scoring. Second, it has become more common to overstate or fabricate environmental credentials, a practice known as "greenwashing." Without

exhibiting significant sustainability practices or quantifiable results, businesses and investment funds may promote themselves as "green" (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Third, short-term market volatility can still affect sustainable investments. Even though ESG investments might perform better over time, economic downturns can still have an impact on them. These challenges underscore the importance of rigorous ESG assessment, transparency, and long-term commitment to sustainability.

Conclusion

There is growing evidence that profitability and sustainable investing can coexist and, in many situations, even strengthen each other. Beyond merely accomplishing environmental objectives, green finance can also improve financial performance by lowering risk, boosting resilience, and bringing companies into line with the economy of the future. The financial case for sustainable investing is compelling, even though ESG investing is not a panacea and issues like inconsistent data and greenwashing need to be addressed. More consistent returns, less exposure to systemic risks, and



alignment with the growing consumer and regulatory demand for ethical behavior are all anticipated benefits for investors who integrate ESG considerations into their strategies. Green finance will be crucial as the shift to a low-carbon economy quickens.

References

- Baker, M., Bergstresser, D., Serafeim, G., & Wurgler, J. (2018). *Financing the response to climate change: The pricing and ownership of U.S. green bonds*. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2018(2), 249–319.
- Berg, F., Koelbel, J. F., & Rigobon, R. (2022). *Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings*. Review of Finance, 26(6), 1315–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfac033>
- Climate Bonds Initiative. (2022). *Green bonds market summary 2021*. <https://www.climatebonds.net/>
- Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). *The drivers of greenwashing*. California
- Management Review, 54(1), 64–87.
- Financial Times. (2023). *ESG fund inconsistencies raise doubts among investors*. Retrieved from <https://www.ft.com>
- Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). *ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies*. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210–233.
- Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA). (2023). *Global sustainable investment review 2022*. <https://www.gsi-alliance.org/>
- Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2021). *Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality*. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697–1724.
- Morningstar. (2022). *ESG funds outperform in volatile markets*. <https://www.morningstar.com/>
- OECD. (2020). *Developing sustainable finance definitions and taxonomies*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and

Development.

<https://www.oecd.org/>

World Bank. (2023). *What is green finance?*.

<https://www.worldbank.org/>